

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Ernest W. Moody	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,113	(08/28/2003		MOODY 41	1843
24258	7590	07/26/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN EDV			LAYNO, BENJAMIN		
2290 S. JONES BLVD. #100 LAS VEGAS, NV 89146				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LAS VEGA	5, 14 V 0.	7140		3712	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/652,113	MOODY, ERNEST W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Benjamin H. Layno	3712			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed ((30) days will be considered timely. (FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u> ·				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application	١.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	own from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or alactica requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.	, ,,,	•			
•	Adminior. Note the attached	Office Action of John 1 10-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap prity documents have been i	oplication No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	received.			
Attach was and (a)					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intensious St	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>072204</u>. 	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crawford in view of Awada 943'.

The patent to Crawford discloses a draw poker game. To play Crawford's poker game a player places a wager which is allocated among an initial five-card poker hand and three card subsets, col. 5, lines 30-52. A five-card poker hand is displayed to a player, Fig 5. If the five-card poker hand comprises a predetermined arrangement of cards, the player is provided with an award based on a pay table 32. Also see col. 3, lines 60-67 and col. 4, lines 39-43. If a four-card subset of the five-card poker hand comprises a predetermined arrangement of cards, the player is provided with an award, see col. 3, lines 13-21. Also see Fig. 2 showing the different four-card subsets 14a-14b. 14a discloses a first four-card subset consisting of card 1, card 2, card 3 and card 4. 14e discloses a second four-çard subset consisting of card 2, card 3, card 4 and card 5. If a three-card subset of the five-card poker hand comprises a predetermined arrangement of cards, the player is provided with an award based on a third pay table 28, 30. Also see Fig. 1 showing the different three-card subsets 12a-12i. 12a discloses

Application/Control Number: 10/652,113 Page 3

Art Unit: 3712

a first three-card subset consisting of card 1, card 2 and card 3. 12g discloses a second three-card subset consisting of card 2, card 3 and card 4. 12j discloses a third three-card subset consisting of card 3, card 4 and card 5. Crawford does not disclose a second pay table for the four-card subsets, however, based on the pay tables 28, 30 and 32 for the five-card poker hand and the three-card subsets, it would have been inherent or obvious to provide a pay table for the four-card subsets.

The patent to Awada 943' discloses a **stud** poker game wherein each player is dealt three cards and four community cards are dealt in the center of the table. Each player plays a three-card **stud** poker, a five-card **stud** poker and a seven-card **stud** poker using their three dealt cards and the four community cards. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to make Crawford's draw poker game a **stud** poker game. This modification would have eliminated the draw step in Crawford's game shortening playing time and increasing profits and revenue.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Kal and Webb 145' both disclose poker games wherein a three-card poker game, a five-card poker game and a seven-card poker game are played using three different subsets of cards from the same hand. The patents to Awada 550' and Awada 643' both disclose cards games wherein each player plays three different card games using three different subsets of cards from the same hand.

Art Unit: 3712

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (703) 308-1815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin H. Layne Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3712

bhl